



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/821,504		03/28/2001	Brian N. Sawyer	04816P003	5218
8791	7590	10/06/2004		EXAMINER	
		OLOFF TAYLOI BOULEVARD	BAYERL, RAYMOND J		
	SEVENTH FLOOR				PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANO	LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			2173	<del></del> .
				DATE MAILED: 10/06/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			n
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\mathcal{L}$
Advisory Action	09/821,504	SAWYER, BRIAN N.	0
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Raymond J. Bayerl	2173	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 02 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a high places the application in	
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate extens originally set in the final Office action:	ion
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the pet 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) \( \square\) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
<ul> <li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the	е
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.	
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment	t
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>8 - 9, 16</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 7, 10 - 15, 17</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen		220	
10. Other:	RA	YMOND J. BAYERL	
_		RIMARY EXAMINER	
	1 1		
-	20 ( ) 20 .	ART UNIT 2173	
	30 Sept 2004		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Ono (US #5,668,966) creates a stored collection of reusable criteria, which anticipates the claimed sourcing from a "library" as per the independent claims, under 35 USC 102. Also, motivation exists in the search result return of Ono for the obviousness under 35 USC 103 of the numerical "tally" given in the dependent claims so rejected.